Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/541,675	DEMARS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL LEE	1791
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>DANIEL LEE</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Henry Feiereisen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 September 2009.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☑ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-25</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.		
Substance of Intensive including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. Acal was made for make a restriction recollement between claims 1-20 and 21-25. Hanny Felieration elected claims 1-20 without fraverse.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, as summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04.) If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed. APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAIL MOS DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATEAURAPY FORM. WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
/D. L / Examiner, Art Unit 1791		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)